The first property of the same

BEFORE THE

Federal Communications Commission

WASHINGTON, D.C.

		The state of the s
In re Applications for)	DEC 8 0 1007
)	100 0 U 100/
The Lutheran Church - Missouri Synod)	MM Docket No. 94-10 FEDERAL CONTROL OF THE SECOND SHOW
)	OFFICE OF THE CONTROL
For Renewal of Licenses of)	File Nos. BR-890929VC
Stations KFUO/KFUO-FM)	BRH-890929VB
Clayton, Missouri)	

To: The Commission

RESPONSE TO MOTION TO VACATE OR DELETE REPORTING CONDITIONS

The Lutheran Church - Missouri Synod (the "Church"), by its attorneys, hereby submits its Response to the "Motion to Vacate or Delete Reporting Conditions," (the "Motion") filed with the Commission by the Missouri State Conference of Branches of the NAACP, the St. Louis Branch of the NAACP and the St. Louis County Branch of the NAACP (collectively, "the NAACP") on or about December 23, 1997.

The NAACP's Motion requests the Commission to vacate or delete the EEO reporting conditions which were imposed by the Commission in The Lutheran Church - Missouri

Synod, 12 FCC Rcd 2152, 2165-66 ¶23 and 2168-69 ¶29 (1997) ("MO&O") (appealed, D.C. Cir. No. 97-1116) (oral argument scheduled January 12, 1998). The reporting conditions should never have been imposed and the Church has so argued in its appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. The Church is gratified that the NAACP now recognizes that "the Church has been successful in recruiting minorities" and has engaged in "the kind of outreach the Commission encourages." However, the NAACP's

MAME

motion to relieve the Church from one of the MO&O's sanctions which never should have been imposed in the first place is procedurally flawed. The FCC's MO&O, in all respects that are adverse to the Church, is now before the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, where it will be argued shortly.

The United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit has exclusive jurisdiction to review FCC decisions granting, denying, modifying or revoking designated permits and licenses. See Section 402(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"), 47 U.S.C. § 402(b). The Church's licenses were granted subject, inter alia, to the imposition of the EEO reporting conditions. The Church has appealed those sanctions. The Court has clear jurisdiction over the reporting conditions. Indeed, the Court has exercised specific jurisdiction over the conditions which it stayed by Order dated November 13, 1997. 1997.

The NAACP's motion solicits the FCC to act on a material aspect of the pending appeal without first seeking a remand of the case. The Court has previously denied the NAACP's "Motion for Leave to File 'Emergency Motion to Remand the Record'" by Order dated August 26, 1997, and likewise denied the FCC's "Motion for Leave to File Emergency Motion for Remand of the Record" by Order dated September 30, 1997. The NAACP's pleading amounts, at best, to an attempted circumvention of two orders of the Court and, at the least, an extremely belated petition for reconsideration of the MO&O. It is well settled that the FCC lacks the authority to waive or extend the 30 day reconsideration period

The NAACP cites no authority for its suggestion at p.1 of its motion that there is dual jurisdiction over the reporting conditions or that jurisdiction can be somehow bifurcated.

specified in Section 405 of the Act. See Federation of American Health Systems, 6 CR 486 (1997); Mary R. Kupris, 68 RR2d 63 (1990); Richardson Independent School District, 67 RR2d 1412 (1990).

In addition, the NAACP is no longer a party to this proceeding and thus lacks standing to file the motion. By Order dated September 30, 1997, the Court granted the NAACP's motion to dismiss its appeal (No. 97-1115) with prejudice. Since the NAACP's petition to deny was therefore disposed of and it no longer contests the MO&O as an intervenor, the NAACP lacks standing to file the instant motion. Cf. Garden State Broadcasting Ltd.

Partnership v. FCC, 996 F.2d 386 (D.C. Cir. 1993).

Respectfully submitted,

THE LUTHERAN CHURCH - MISSOURI SYNOD

By:

Richard R. Zaragoza Kathryn R. Schmeltzer Barry H. Gottfried

Its Attorneys

FISHER WAYLAND COOPER LEADER & ZARAGOZA L.L.P.
2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20006-1851 (202) 659-3494

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Barry H. Gottfried, do hereby certify that true and correct copies of the foregoing

"RESPONSE TO MOTION TO VACATE OR DELETE REPORTING CONDITIONS" served

on this 30th day of December, 1997, upon the following:

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Roy J. Stewart, Esq. Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 314 Washington, D.C. 20554

Y. Paulette Laden, Esq. FCC Mass Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7218 Washington, D.C. 20554 Daniel M. Armstrong, Esq.
David Silberman, Esq.
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Room 602
Washington, D.C. 20554

<u>VIA FIRST CLASS, POSTAGE PREPAID</u> <u>U.S. MAIL</u>

Isabelle Katz Pinzler, Esq. Mark L. Gross, Esq. Lisa Wilson Edwards, Esq. Department of Justice Patrick Henry Building 601 D Street, N.W., Room 5026 Washington, D.C. 20004

Mark Troobnick, Esq. American Center for Law & Justice 1000 Thomas Jefferson St., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007

Michael P. McDonald, Esq. Center for Individual Rights 1233 20th Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20036 Lawrence W. Secrest III, Esq. Wiley Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Robert B. Nicholson, Esq. Department of Justice Appellate Section Patrick Henry Building 601 D Street, N.W., Room 10535 Washington, D.C. 20530

David E. Honig, Esq. 3636 16th Street, N.W. Suite B-366 Washington, D.C. 20010

Barry H. Gottfried